## Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell LLP

1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347

302 658 9200 302 658 3989 Fax

Jack B. Blumenfeld 302 351 9291 302 425 3012 Fax jblumenfeld@mnat.com

January 17, 2007

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Sue L. Robinson United States District Court 844 King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: Boston Scientific Corp. v. Conor Medsystems; C.A. No. 05-768 (SLR)

Dear Chief Judge Robinson:

In its January 11, 2007 letter (D.I. 66), BSC cited the 1990 and 1991 cases, Ortho Pharm. Corp. v. Smith and Scripps Clinic and Research Found. v. Genentech, Inc. We are writing to bring to Your Honor's attention the later case, Elan Pharm. Research Corp. v. Employers Ins. Of Wausau, 144 F. 3d 1372, 1379-80 (11th Cir. 1998), where the Eleventh Circuit concluded that, although the earlier Ortho and Scripps cases suggested that "the subsequent dissemination of clinical studies and information developed for the purpose of obtaining FDA approval for a drug or medical device deprived a defendant of the protections of section 271(e)(1) and therefore gave rise to an action under section 271(a)," the Federal Circuit had rejected that theory in Telectronics Pacing Sys., Inc. v. Ventritex, Inc., 982 F.2d 1520 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Respectfully,

/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)

JBB/ycs

The Honorable Sue L. Robinson January 17, 2007 Page 2

cc: Peter T. Dalleo, Clerk (By Hand)
Adam Poff, Esquire (By Hand)
Peter J. Armenio, Esquire (By Email)
Timothy E. DeMasi, Esquire (By Email)